28

## 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 3 AT SEATTLE 4 5 BRADLEY MARSHALL, Case No. CV-11-5319 SC 6 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO 7 INTERVENE 8 v. 9 WASHINGTON STATE BAR ASSOCIATION, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 13 Now before the Court is John Scannell's ("Scannell") Motion to 14 Intervene in the above-captioned matter, which was filed by 15 Plaintiff Bradley Marshall ("Marshall"). ECF No. 73. The Court 16 recently dismissed Marshall's action with prejudice, ECF No. 79, 17 meaning that there is no longer an active case or controversy for 18 Scannel to intervene in. Accordingly, the Court DENIES Scannel's 19 Motion to Intervene. 20 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 Dated: May 23, 2012 24 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 25 26 2.7